

The Sydney Herald

No. 6598.—Vol. XL.

MONDAY, AUGUST 1, 1859.

[PRICE FOURPENCE.]

BIRTHS.

On Thursday, 11th July, at Bong Bong, Mrs. Charles R. Jones, of a son.
On the 24th July, at Parramatta, the wife of Henry T. Jones, of a daughter.
On the 26th ultimo, at Coleraine, the wife of John Dowling Brown, Esq., of a daughter.
On Thursday, the 26th July, at her residence, George-street South, the wife of Mr. Joseph Whaley, of a daughter.

DEATHS.

On the 26th June, at his residence, 140, Victoria, Thomas McNeill, 65 years of age, late of British Guiana.
On the 26th June, at Coleraine, the wife of George Jones, 65 years of age, late of British Guiana.
On the 26th June, at his residence, 140, Victoria, Thomas McNeill, 65 years of age, late of British Guiana.
On the 26th June, at his residence, 140, Victoria, Thomas McNeill, 65 years of age, late of British Guiana.

SHIP ADVERTISEMENTS.

FOR PORT OF FRANCE, NEW CALLEDONIA.—The first-class ship, the *Admiral*, 430 tons, leaving for the above port in a few days. She has room between decks for a few horses and cattle, which will be taken at a moderate price.
For freight or passage apply to D. N. JOUBERT, Smith's Wharf, Miller's Point.

FOR HAVANA DIRECT.—The ship *KEMANGLIN*, 200 tons, captain MOORE, will sail for this port on or about 5th August, and offers a good opportunity for intending passengers and light freight.
Application to be made to Captain MOORE, on board, at Smith's Wharf, or to GEORGE A. LLOYD, on board, at a moderate price.

FOR CALIFORNIA DIRECT.—To sail the 16th August, the splendid clipper ship *PELU*, 1000 tons.
H. A. SINGH, collector.

FOR LIGHT FREIGHT AND PASSENGERS ONLY, apply to Mr. RAYLEY, Custom House agent, Circular Quay.

FOR HONGKONG.—To sail TO-MORROW.—The *HIMALAYA* will proceed to sea on WEDNESDAY MORNING.

MOLSON AND BLACK, No. 4, Bridge-street.

FOR CALIFORNIA DIRECT.—Notice to Shippers of Horses.—The splendid ship *SEA BIRD*, 600 tons, registered, under the flag of the United Kingdom, will sail in a few days. Parties wishing to avail themselves of this opportunity should not lose the present opportunity, as the only one likely to offer for some time. The vessel is thoroughly ventilated, and the cargo is secured for the safety and comfort of the horses will be done.

Apply to MESSRS. A. B. SON, and CO., or to LAIDLAY, HENDERSON, and CO., Lloyd's Chambers, George-street.

FOR LONDON.—To sail on the 5th August, the fast-sailing and commodious ship *MAID OF OPHIDIA*, 400 tons, registered, under the flag of the United Kingdom, will sail in a few days. Parties wishing to avail themselves of this opportunity should not lose the present opportunity, as the only one likely to offer for some time. The vessel is thoroughly ventilated, and the cargo is secured for the safety and comfort of the horses will be done.

Apply to MESSRS. A. B. SON, and CO., or to LAIDLAY, HENDERSON, and CO., Lloyd's Chambers, George-street.

FOR LONDON.—To sail on the 5th August, the fast-sailing and commodious ship *MAID OF OPHIDIA*, 400 tons, registered, under the flag of the United Kingdom, will sail in a few days. Parties wishing to avail themselves of this opportunity should not lose the present opportunity, as the only one likely to offer for some time. The vessel is thoroughly ventilated, and the cargo is secured for the safety and comfort of the horses will be done.

Apply to MESSRS. A. B. SON, and CO., or to LAIDLAY, HENDERSON, and CO., Lloyd's Chambers, George-street.

FOR LONDON.—To sail on the 5th August, the fast-sailing and commodious ship *MAID OF OPHIDIA*, 400 tons, registered, under the flag of the United Kingdom, will sail in a few days. Parties wishing to avail themselves of this opportunity should not lose the present opportunity, as the only one likely to offer for some time. The vessel is thoroughly ventilated, and the cargo is secured for the safety and comfort of the horses will be done.

Apply to MESSRS. A. B. SON, and CO., or to LAIDLAY, HENDERSON, and CO., Lloyd's Chambers, George-street.

FOR LONDON.—To sail on the 5th August, the fast-sailing and commodious ship *MAID OF OPHIDIA*, 400 tons, registered, under the flag of the United Kingdom, will sail in a few days. Parties wishing to avail themselves of this opportunity should not lose the present opportunity, as the only one likely to offer for some time. The vessel is thoroughly ventilated, and the cargo is secured for the safety and comfort of the horses will be done.

Apply to MESSRS. A. B. SON, and CO., or to LAIDLAY, HENDERSON, and CO., Lloyd's Chambers, George-street.

FOR LONDON.—To sail on the 5th August, the fast-sailing and commodious ship *MAID OF OPHIDIA*, 400 tons, registered, under the flag of the United Kingdom, will sail in a few days. Parties wishing to avail themselves of this opportunity should not lose the present opportunity, as the only one likely to offer for some time. The vessel is thoroughly ventilated, and the cargo is secured for the safety and comfort of the horses will be done.

Apply to MESSRS. A. B. SON, and CO., or to LAIDLAY, HENDERSON, and CO., Lloyd's Chambers, George-street.

FOR LONDON.—To sail on the 5th August, the fast-sailing and commodious ship *MAID OF OPHIDIA*, 400 tons, registered, under the flag of the United Kingdom, will sail in a few days. Parties wishing to avail themselves of this opportunity should not lose the present opportunity, as the only one likely to offer for some time. The vessel is thoroughly ventilated, and the cargo is secured for the safety and comfort of the horses will be done.

Apply to MESSRS. A. B. SON, and CO., or to LAIDLAY, HENDERSON, and CO., Lloyd's Chambers, George-street.

FOR LONDON.—To sail on the 5th August, the fast-sailing and commodious ship *MAID OF OPHIDIA*, 400 tons, registered, under the flag of the United Kingdom, will sail in a few days. Parties wishing to avail themselves of this opportunity should not lose the present opportunity, as the only one likely to offer for some time. The vessel is thoroughly ventilated, and the cargo is secured for the safety and comfort of the horses will be done.

Apply to MESSRS. A. B. SON, and CO., or to LAIDLAY, HENDERSON, and CO., Lloyd's Chambers, George-street.

FOR LONDON.—To sail on the 5th August, the fast-sailing and commodious ship *MAID OF OPHIDIA*, 400 tons, registered, under the flag of the United Kingdom, will sail in a few days. Parties wishing to avail themselves of this opportunity should not lose the present opportunity, as the only one likely to offer for some time. The vessel is thoroughly ventilated, and the cargo is secured for the safety and comfort of the horses will be done.

Apply to MESSRS. A. B. SON, and CO., or to LAIDLAY, HENDERSON, and CO., Lloyd's Chambers, George-street.

FOR LONDON.—To sail on the 5th August, the fast-sailing and commodious ship *MAID OF OPHIDIA*, 400 tons, registered, under the flag of the United Kingdom, will sail in a few days. Parties wishing to avail themselves of this opportunity should not lose the present opportunity, as the only one likely to offer for some time. The vessel is thoroughly ventilated, and the cargo is secured for the safety and comfort of the horses will be done.

Apply to MESSRS. A. B. SON, and CO., or to LAIDLAY, HENDERSON, and CO., Lloyd's Chambers, George-street.

FOR LONDON.—To sail on the 5th August, the fast-sailing and commodious ship *MAID OF OPHIDIA*, 400 tons, registered, under the flag of the United Kingdom, will sail in a few days. Parties wishing to avail themselves of this opportunity should not lose the present opportunity, as the only one likely to offer for some time. The vessel is thoroughly ventilated, and the cargo is secured for the safety and comfort of the horses will be done.

Apply to MESSRS. A. B. SON, and CO., or to LAIDLAY, HENDERSON, and CO., Lloyd's Chambers, George-street.

FOR LONDON.—To sail on the 5th August, the fast-sailing and commodious ship *MAID OF OPHIDIA*, 400 tons, registered, under the flag of the United Kingdom, will sail in a few days. Parties wishing to avail themselves of this opportunity should not lose the present opportunity, as the only one likely to offer for some time. The vessel is thoroughly ventilated, and the cargo is secured for the safety and comfort of the horses will be done.

Apply to MESSRS. A. B. SON, and CO., or to LAIDLAY, HENDERSON, and CO., Lloyd's Chambers, George-street.

PERSONS ADVERTISED FOR.

HARRY JONES, who left home second mate of the *Eastern City*, bound on the passenger and afterwards sailed from the Cape of Good Hope for Melbourne. Your sister EMILY has arrived in Sydney by the Queen of England, and is very anxious to see or hear from you. Any one knowing whether H. J. has sailed lately from the colony would be taking a leading part by addressing a letter to EMILY JONES, Sydney Morning Herald Office.

HALL HENDERSON, aged about 44, height 5 feet 10 inches; ruddy complexion, dark eyes, aquiline nose, black hair. Left England in Victoria, Captain Section, in 1853; in employment of Mr. H. H. Henderson, Sydney, until the 1st of January, 1854. In April 1854, and returned to Sydney in September, 1854. A reward of £1 will be paid for satisfactory proof of the above being alive or dead. Address Mr. R. BLAIR, West Matland.

HENRY CATT, joiner at a builder.—Call at E. BALDWIN, 22, Hunter-street. A job awaits you.

MRS. J. GIBBINS, important LETTERS from your HUSBAND. Call for them without delay.

M. JAMES FISHER, who wrote a letter to Mr. F. KOSTER respecting his son's accident, will oblige by communicating his address. FREDERICK KOSTER, William-street, opposite Museum Hotel, Woolloomooloo.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—First night of the Farwell Engagement of that truly celebrated Irish comedian, Mr. JOHN DREW, whose artistic and actual delineations of Irish character have been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

PERSONS ADVERTISED FOR.

HARRY JONES, who left home second mate of the *Eastern City*, bound on the passenger and afterwards sailed from the Cape of Good Hope for Melbourne. Your sister EMILY has arrived in Sydney by the Queen of England, and is very anxious to see or hear from you. Any one knowing whether H. J. has sailed lately from the colony would be taking a leading part by addressing a letter to EMILY JONES, Sydney Morning Herald Office.

HALL HENDERSON, aged about 44, height 5 feet 10 inches; ruddy complexion, dark eyes, aquiline nose, black hair. Left England in Victoria, Captain Section, in 1853; in employment of Mr. H. H. Henderson, Sydney, until the 1st of January, 1854. In April 1854, and returned to Sydney in September, 1854. A reward of £1 will be paid for satisfactory proof of the above being alive or dead. Address Mr. R. BLAIR, West Matland.

HENRY CATT, joiner at a builder.—Call at E. BALDWIN, 22, Hunter-street. A job awaits you.

MRS. J. GIBBINS, important LETTERS from your HUSBAND. Call for them without delay.

M. JAMES FISHER, who wrote a letter to Mr. F. KOSTER respecting his son's accident, will oblige by communicating his address. FREDERICK KOSTER, William-street, opposite Museum Hotel, Woolloomooloo.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—First night of the Farwell Engagement of that truly celebrated Irish comedian, Mr. JOHN DREW, whose artistic and actual delineations of Irish character have been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

ROYAL VICTORIA THEATRE.—To-night, the grand farce of *THE NIGHT OF THE NIGHT*, Mr. JOHN DREW, who has been the theme of universal admiration.

D. GUNST begs to inform his friends that he has moved from the Richmond River to the Clarence River, Grafton, where he continues to treat patients by correspondence as before. All communications to be addressed, DR. GUNST, Grafton.

EDUCATION FOR YOUNG LADIES.—Chapman House, Point Piper, New South Wales.—The Misses WEST continue to receive a limited number of Pupils on moderate terms.

R. AND J. MURRAY, Singers, &c. 81, King-street, near the Market, opposite the Theatre. Terms moderate.

GENERAL WASHINGTON LUNCHEON ROOMS.—The proprietor of this establishment wishes to call the attention of his patrons to his collection of choice beef, pork, and mutton on view every day.

KANGAROO ROUP.—General Washington, THIS DAY. Families supplied. 2s. per quart.

M. HERZ, Quadrille Pianist, 201, Elizabeth-street, two doors from Park-street.

ROAST PORK AND APPLE SAUCE.—Boiled with Rice and Capers. Roast Lamb and Mint Sauce. Kites and Curry. Lamb's Fry, &c. General Washington, THIS DAY, Monday, 2nd August.

TURTLE SOUP, TURTLE SOUP, TURTLE SOUP.—THIS DAY, Monday, and two following days, at 12, at the London Tavern, George-street. N.B.—Families supplied at 5s. per quart.

TO LET, a small house, with garden, in the parish of St. James, near the Market, opposite the Theatre. Apply to Mr. J. H. GUNST, Grafton.

WILLINGTON begs to inform the public that he has positively closed his office of parcels for Pickford and Co., and has removed to the premises of the same firm, 12, Essex-street, Sydney.

GENERAL AGENCY.—The undersigned are prepared to undertake the importing of STOCK, consisting of SHEEPS, on the most favorable terms, through their London Agents, Messrs. J. H. GUNST, Grafton.

NOTICE.—We, the undersigned, have THIS DAY, Thursday, 28th July, 1859, taken notice of the names of the general stockholders in the township of Cooma, Maneroo, under the style and firm of A. and B. DE LISSA.

NOTICE.—We, the undersigned, have THIS DAY, Thursday, 28th July, 1859, taken notice of the names of the general stockholders in the township of Cooma, Maneroo, under the style and firm of A. and B. DE LISSA.

NOTICE.—We, the undersigned, have THIS DAY, Thursday, 28th July, 1859, taken notice of the names of the general stockholders in the township of Cooma, Maneroo, under the style and firm of A. and B. DE LISSA.

NOTICE.—We, the undersigned, have THIS DAY, Thursday, 28th July, 1859, taken notice of the names of the general stockholders in the township of Cooma, Maneroo, under the style and firm of A. and B. DE LISSA.

NOTICE.—We, the undersigned, have THIS DAY, Thursday, 28th July, 1859, taken notice of the names of the general stockholders in the township of Cooma, Maneroo, under the style and firm of A. and B. DE LISSA.

NOTICE.—We, the undersigned, have THIS DAY, Thursday, 28th July, 1859, taken notice of the names of the general stockholders in the township of Cooma, Maneroo, under the style and firm of A. and B. DE LISSA.

NOTICE.—We, the undersigned, have THIS DAY, Thursday, 28th July, 1859, taken notice of the names of the general stockholders in the township of Cooma, Maneroo, under the style and firm of A. and B. DE LISSA.

NOTICE.—We, the undersigned, have THIS DAY, Thursday, 28th July, 1859, taken notice of the names of the general stockholders in the township of Cooma, Maneroo, under the style and firm of A. and B. DE LISSA.

NOTICE.—We, the undersigned, have THIS DAY, Thursday, 28th July, 1859, taken notice of the names of the general stockholders in the township of Cooma, Maneroo, under the style and firm of A. and B. DE LISSA.

NOTICE.—We, the undersigned, have THIS DAY, Thursday, 28th July, 1859, taken notice of the names of the general stockholders in the township of Cooma, Maneroo, under the style and firm of A. and B. DE LISSA.

NOTICE.—We, the undersigned, have THIS DAY, Thursday, 28th July, 1859, taken notice of the names of the general stockholders in the township of Cooma, Maneroo, under the style and firm of A. and B. DE LISSA.

NOTICE.—We, the undersigned, have THIS DAY, Thursday, 28th July, 1859, taken notice of the names of the general stockholders in the township of Cooma, Maneroo, under the style and firm of A. and B. DE LISSA.

NOTICE.—We, the undersigned, have THIS DAY, Thursday, 28th July, 1859, taken notice of the names of the general stockholders in the township of Cooma, Maneroo, under the style and firm of A. and B. DE LISSA.

NOTICE.—We, the undersigned, have THIS DAY, Thursday, 28th July, 1859, taken notice of the names of the general stockholders in the township of Cooma, Maneroo, under the style and firm of A. and B. DE LISSA.

NOTICE.—We, the undersigned, have THIS DAY, Thursday, 28th July, 1859, taken notice of the names of the general stockholders in the township of Cooma, Maneroo, under the style and firm of A. and B. DE LISSA.

NOTICE.—We, the undersigned, have THIS DAY, Thursday, 28th July, 1859, taken notice of the names of the general stockholders in the township of Cooma, Maneroo, under the style and firm of A. and B. DE LISSA.

NOTICE.—We, the undersigned, have THIS DAY, Thursday, 28th July, 1859, taken notice of the names of the general stockholders in the township of Cooma, Maneroo, under the style and firm of A. and B. DE LISSA.

NOTICE.—We, the undersigned, have THIS DAY, Thursday, 28th July, 1859, taken notice of the names of the general stockholders in the township of Cooma, Maneroo, under the style and firm of A. and B. DE LISSA.

NOTICE.—We, the undersigned, have THIS DAY, Thursday, 28th July, 1859, taken notice of the names of the general stockholders in the township of Cooma, Maneroo, under the style and firm of A. and B. DE LISSA.

NOTICE.—We, the undersigned, have THIS DAY, Thursday, 28th July, 1859, taken notice of the names of the general stockholders in the township of Cooma, Maneroo, under the style and firm of A. and B. DE LISSA.

NOTICE.—We, the undersigned, have THIS DAY, Thursday, 28th July, 1859, taken notice of the names of the general stockholders in the township of Cooma, Maneroo, under the style and firm of A. and B. DE LISSA.

NOTICE.—We, the undersigned, have THIS DAY, Thursday, 28th July, 1859, taken notice of the names of the general stockholders in the township of Cooma, Maneroo, under the style and firm of A. and B. DE LISSA.

WANTED, a GENERAL SERVANT. Mrs. WILEY, Pitt and Park streets.

WANTED, a FEMALE GENERAL SERVANT. Mrs. HELLIER, Datchet-street, Balmoral, close to the Gipsy Ferry. (Steamers from Sydney at Grafton Wharf.)

WANTED, PLACES for Cooks, Laundresses, Housemaids, Needlewomen, General Servants. Apply to Mrs. CAPPS, 125, King-street.

WANTED, a GIRL about 15. Apply THIS MORNING, to FREEMAN, BROTHERS, 392, George-street, Golden Gate Hotel, Brickfield Hill.

WANTED, a FEMALE GENERAL SERVANT. Apply to Mrs. MORRIS, Crown-street, opposite the Reservoir.

WANTED, by a competent DRESSMAKER, work by the day or week. M. G., Herald Office.

WANTED, a SINGLES MAN, to work in a garden. Apply to Mr. MANKELL, Randwick.

WANTED, a competent TEACHER, to impart various branches of education, three or four nights in the week to the members of the Australian Catholic Women's Society, in their Hall, Sussex-street. Communicate with JAMES KELLY, Secretary, 19, Edward-street, on or before Thursday next.

WANTED, a thoroughly skilful BUTCHER, as a Shopman. References required. Apply Mr. R. SHAW, 125, Pitt-street.

W

LAW.

SUPREME COURT.—SATURDAY.

Special Sitting in Banco.
BROOK Mr. Justice Dickinson and Mr. Justice Milford.

NEWLAND v. HUMPHREY and ANOTHER.
Mr. Justice Dickinson delivered the following judgment (as that of the Court) upon one of the points of law involved in this case:—

We are unable as yet to deliver our judgment upon this case. But a point of law has occurred in it, the solution of which we have been informed may affect the conduct of a case partly tried, and of some other cases similarly circumstanced, in which the same point will arise. Reserving for future decision all other questions in this case, we now proceed to pronounce our opinion upon the one legal proposition adverted to.

By the evidence at the trial, it appeared that the plaintiff, and also the defendants, with their respective servants, blacks, and herds, had been on the locus together for several years past. Each party claimed the land, as having been the last in a chain of conveyances, each of whom permitted his immediate successors to enter into the land. The plaintiff contended that the first in his chain of predecessors had exclusive possession of the locus, before the first in the chain of the defendants' predecessors entered upon it, and that therefore the first of their predecessors was a trespasser on the first of the plaintiff's; and that, as neither the latter person, nor any of his successors, had ever abandoned the land or otherwise relinquished possession thereof to the defendants' ancestors, the defendants and all through whom they claimed were trespassers on the plaintiff, and upon all through whom he derived possession, on the other hand, that the first of their predecessors entered on the land when it was abandoned, or at least unoccupied; that all their predecessors and themselves as had continued and uninterrupted possession of the locus, and that the defendants' predecessors were not the plaintiff's; and that the plaintiff was a trespasser upon them, instead of their having been trespassers on the plaintiff.

The defendants, moreover, contended, that as upon the evidence it was clear that they were on the land before the plaintiff came there, the latter could not maintain an action of trespass for what the defendants, their servants, blacks, and herds, did after the plaintiff came on the place, even if the defendants for similar acts were trespassers on him whom the plaintiff succeeded. "The latter is the point we are about to decide on this occasion."

Upon this point, the following cases were cited as the law:—*Harper v. Charlesworth*, 4 B. & C. 574; *Butcher v. Butcher*, 7 B. & C. 399; *Chambers v. Dandridge*, 11 B. & C. 45; *Harley v. Birkbeck*, 3 B. & C. 10; *Revett v. Brown*, 5 B. & C. 7; *Taylor v. Perry*, 11 B. & C. 504; *Doe v. Barton*, 18 B. & C. 945; *Lichfield v. Read*, 5 Exch. 639; and *Prigg v. Southampton and Dorchester Railway Company*, 1 L. J. (C.B.) 330.

It has been held, and acted on for many years in this Court, that a person in exclusive possession of any land in this colony, can maintain trespass against a person without better title than trespassing on him; and that a trespasser on the locus prior to the plaintiff's "not possessed." We think that such holding was correct, on the authority of *Harper v. Charlesworth*, and *Chambers v. Dandridge*. Our decision in *Harley v. Birkbeck* is not in conflict with this principle, inasmuch as the plaintiff was an intruder on the Crown, and therefore, under the enactments then in force, guilty of a misdemeanor, was pleaded in confession and avoidance of his position.

Now that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, he can maintain it against every one except him who can prove himself to be the rightful owner, the possessor of the locus, or a person who has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

It is not necessary to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, or that he has a better title than the plaintiff's. It is sufficient to say that the plaintiff is in possession of the locus, and that he has a better title than the plaintiff's.

INSOLVENCY.

SATURDAY.

SCREEDER.—Edward Watts, of Campbelltown, near Sydney, storekeeper. Liabilities, £501 9s. 1d. Assets: 700 of personal property, £175; outstanding debts, £15; total, £220. Deficit, £281 9s. 1d. Mr. Perry, official assignee.

MEETINGS OF CREDITORS.

Monday, August 1.—William Cox, third, 11. Malachi Hardman, third, 12. Edward James, third, half-past 12. Charles Croft, third, half-past 1. James Davidson, third, half-past 2. At 2 o'clock: John Bailey, third, 11.

Tuesday, 4.—William Smith, third, 11. John Robertson, third, 12. John Croft, third, half-past 1. George Tutton, Dennis O'Driscoll, William Robinson, John Atkins, certificates, 12.

Wednesday, 5.—John Robertson, third, 11. John Robertson, third, 12. John Croft, third, half-past 1. William Smith, third, half-past 11.

Thursday, 6.—George W. Brown, adjourned examination, 11. John Burns, special, 2. Alexander Fleming, first, 3. James McCrossen, first, half-past 1.

Friday, 7.—John Brown, first, half-past 10. William Macdonald, adjourned examination, 11. Monday, 8.—Joseph Jordan, third, 11. William S. Watson, third, half-past 11. Maria J. Harrington, third, 12. Charles L. Morris, third, half-past 12. Henry Wyle, single, 1. Alexander Fleming, second, half-past 1. George G. Brooks, single, half-past 2.

Tuesday, 9.—John Hughes, deceased, adjourned examination of witnesses, 11. Abraham Hart, William George Brown, John Edwards, George Frederick Hughes, William W. Beazley, William Archibald Murray, James Stenson, certificates, 12. Wednesday, 10.—Patrick O'Carroll, adjourned single, and for examination, 11. William Deuning, adjourned examination, 11.

Thursday, 11.—Henry M'Rickard, adjourned single, and for examination, 11. Andrew O'Dowd, adjourned single and for examination, 12. James O'Brien, single, 2. Frederick L. Flint, single, 3. Frederick Scholten, to hear arguments, and for certificate of discharge, 11. John Carney, special, under a Judge's order, for examination, 2.

Friday, 12.—John Y. Vencken's certificate, to hear arguments of counsel in favour of granting, 11. Monday, 15.—Thomas Booth, single, 11. Edmund Burgess, single, half-past 11. James McCrossen, second, 12. Anthony Sheffield, single, 1. Margaret Hopkins, single, half-past 11. Christopher Roberts, Michael Cassidy, sen., Owen William Williams, Joseph Robinson, Ulrick D'Antonio, David Campbell, certificates, 12.

Tuesday, 17.—John Y. Vencken's certificate, to hear arguments of counsel in favour of granting, 11. Monday, 22.—William Callaghan, third, 11. John Robinson, third, half-past 11.

Tuesday, 23.—William Wrigg, William Tibbey, Thomas Martin, William Henry Hill, certificates, 12. Tuesday, 30.—George Fairfowl Macarthur, Frank Needs, Michael Croft, 12.

Tuesday, 31.—James Richard Fryer, James Fussell, John Holland, certificates, 12.

CENTRAL POLICE COURT.

Before the Mayor, Major Lockyer, and Mr. Cape. Twelve persons convicted of having been found drunk in the streets were severally sentenced to pay 10s., or to be imprisoned twenty-four hours.

Patrick O'Carroll, charged with public nuisance, and use of obscene language, was sentenced to pay 20s., or to be imprisoned seven days.

Mary Duggan was charged with larceny. William Callaghan, charged with larceny, was committed to prison for about a fortnight in his service, and he had reason to suspect her of dishonest practices; yesterday forenoon saw two boys carrying a box, which he suspected to contain the property of the prisoner; he caused them to bring it back to his house, and there required prisoner to open it; on examination found two pocket-handkerchiefs, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

William Bowes was charged with stealing from the person. Robert Boyd, engineer of the Prince Alfred, accused the prisoner of having stolen a watch and a pocket-handkerchief, a table cover, a candlestick, and a sugarbowl, which he saw to belong to him; prisoner asserted that the articles were her own property, and refused to give them up; he thereupon sent for a police officer, and gave her up into custody. To be imprisoned one month, and informed that a repetition of such conduct would render her liable to six months' imprisonment and hard labour.

SYDNEY UNIVERSITY FESTIVAL.

EXTRA CONCERT.

A MISCELLANEOUS concert was given by the University Festival Committee on Saturday afternoon, in the hall of the University. The primary object of the concert was announced to be the raising of a sum of money to defray the expenses of the festival, and the small attendance caused by the unpropitiousness of the weather during two days of the festival.

The overflowing house which the concert of the previous Saturday attracted, seems to have led the committee to suppose that a renewal of the entertainment, at increased prices, would fill the hall, and thereby raise a large portion, at least of the deficit. But, alas! the result was otherwise.

The great excellence of the Festival performances—the high praise circulated not only by the Press, but by all the delighted visitors—a programme containing the most successful and entertaining music—the large reduction in the price of admission—and the belief that that concert would positively be the last, combined in bringing so large an audience.

But these inducements have been inoperative—at least, to the same extent—as the repetition of the entertainment, even if the admission had remained at three shillings, and they were almost completely counteracted by the charge being nearly doubled, the classes whose attendance on the former Saturday rendered it such a success being now kept away by that increase. On Friday, the limited application for tickets demonstrated to the committee their mistake, but it was then too late to remedy it. They at once reduced the admission to the eastern portion of the hall to three shillings, but the classes whom it was meant to attract would not see the advantage of doing so.

The result was, that the audience only filled about two-thirds of the hall, including the admission of the friends of the performers, not more than two hundred persons availing themselves of the reduction. The plea urged of the small attendance—that a pecuniary loss incurred by ever again attempted in the colony—appears to have been very little heeded, and the classes whose attendance are generally ready to support concerts of a first-class character, and also to contribute to prevent those who are not judiciously for their gratification from sustaining the loss, did not do so.

The committee did not ask for support upon one or other of these grounds exclusively, the appeals on both were inefficacious.

These circumstances, in connection with the large number of concerts the week previous, with difficulty to account for the thin attendance on Saturday, without attributing it in any great degree to the change with respect to the performers. Naturally, the services of the concert, and the classes whose attendance on the former Saturday rendered it such a success, were not so numerous as on Saturday, and the former concerts would have been adequately appreciated; but the programme was so comprehensive, and the performances of such intrinsic merit, that no disparaging comparisons could be suggested. The enthusiastic applause bestowed particularly upon some of the amateur performers, and the frequent encores demanded, showed how greatly their services contributed to the success of the entertainment.

The very gratifying feature of the concert—Concerts—the large share taken by amateur performers—attaches especially to the last concert. Although but few of the professionals who performed at the previous concerts were engaged, the result was nearly as full as before, and the volume of sound almost as large. The post of conductor was ably filled by Mr. Gordon, to whose valuable services the efficiency of the choruses is chiefly due. Mr. Gordon presided with his usual ability at the organ and pianoforte.

The first part of the concert, which consisted of sacred pieces, opened with the beautiful duet from "The Creation," by Handel, in which Mr. Gordon and Mr. Gordon performed with great effect. The effect in the oratorio rests upon the position is occupied in the grand drama. It is a duet which will always be heard with well sung, with increasing delight, but to be adequately appreciated it ought to be preceded by the tenor recitative, "In rosy mantle appears," where the angel introduces the blessed pair, where the tenor and the soprano have been heard, and the thanks that swell their grateful hearts." It was a bold conception, to write and harmonise the hymn sung by the newly created pair; yet how well such improved in the service of the church, and how appropriate for a commencement, as much of its effect in the oratorio rests upon the position is occupied in the grand drama. It is a duet which will always be heard with well sung, with increasing delight, but to be adequately appreciated it ought to be preceded by the tenor recitative, "In rosy mantle appears," where the angel introduces the blessed pair, where the tenor and the soprano have been heard, and the thanks that swell their grateful hearts." It was a bold conception, to write and harmonise the hymn sung by the newly created pair; yet how well such improved in the service of the church, and how appropriate for a commencement, as much of its effect in the oratorio rests upon the position is occupied in the grand drama. It is a duet which will always be heard with well sung, with increasing delight, but to be adequately appreciated it ought to be preceded by the tenor recitative, "In rosy mantle appears," where the angel introduces the blessed pair, where the tenor and the soprano have been heard, and the thanks that swell their grateful hearts." It was a bold conception, to write and harmonise the hymn sung by the newly created pair; yet how well such improved in the service of the church, and how appropriate for a commencement, as much of its effect in the oratorio rests upon the position is occupied in the grand drama. It is a duet which will always be heard with well sung, with increasing delight, but to be adequately appreciated it ought to be preceded by the tenor recitative, "In rosy mantle appears," where the angel introduces the blessed pair, where the tenor and the soprano have been heard, and the thanks that swell their grateful hearts." It was a bold conception, to write and harmonise the hymn sung by the newly created pair; yet how well such improved in the service of the church, and how appropriate for a commencement, as much of its effect in the oratorio rests upon the position is occupied in the grand drama. It is a duet which will always be heard with well sung, with increasing delight, but to be adequately appreciated it ought to be preceded by the tenor recitative, "In rosy mantle appears," where the angel introduces the blessed pair, where the tenor and the soprano have been heard, and the thanks that swell their grateful hearts." It was a bold conception, to write and harmonise the hymn sung by the newly created pair; yet how well such improved in the service of the church, and how appropriate for a commencement, as much of its effect in the oratorio rests upon the position is occupied in the grand drama. It is a duet which will always be heard with well sung, with increasing delight, but to be adequately appreciated it ought to be preceded by the tenor recitative, "In rosy mantle appears," where the angel introduces the blessed pair, where the tenor and the soprano have been heard, and the thanks that swell their grateful hearts." It was a bold conception, to write and harmonise the hymn sung by the newly created pair; yet how well such improved in the service of the church, and how appropriate for a commencement, as much of its effect in the oratorio rests upon the position is occupied in the grand drama. It is a duet which will always be heard with well sung, with increasing delight, but to be adequately appreciated it ought to be preceded by the tenor recitative, "In rosy mantle appears," where the angel introduces the blessed pair, where the tenor and the soprano have been heard, and the thanks that swell their grateful hearts." It was a bold conception, to write and harmonise the hymn sung by the newly created pair; yet how well such improved in the service of the church, and how appropriate for a commencement, as much of its effect in the oratorio rests upon the position is occupied in the grand drama. It is a duet which will always be heard with well sung, with increasing delight, but to be adequately appreciated it ought to be preceded by the tenor recitative, "In rosy mantle appears," where the angel introduces the blessed pair, where the tenor and the soprano have been heard, and the thanks that swell their grateful hearts." It was a bold conception, to write and harmonise the hymn sung by the newly created pair; yet how well such improved in the service of the church, and how appropriate for a commencement, as much of its effect in the oratorio rests upon the position is occupied in the grand drama. It is a duet which will always be heard with well sung, with increasing delight, but to be adequately appreciated it ought to be preceded by the tenor recitative, "In rosy mantle appears,"


National Library of Australia

ustralia



http:

nla.gov.au/nla.news-p



Page 1489678

simple announcement of the above we leave

IRREGULAR QUAY TIMBER YARD.
 20,000 feet American pine, 4 to 6 inches square, 10,000 feet pitch pine, 4 to 12 inches square, 10,000 feet colonial hardwood, cedar, shingles, &c. 10,000 feet Baltic deals, every thickness and length for stores, skids, archbraces, mounding, in great variety, at greatly reduced prices.
 W. H. ROGERS.
 10 Royal, TO BE SOLD CHEAP. Apply to J. H. B. SAUND Oakes, Sydney.

FOR SALE. Two and Four Wheel DOGGCARS, also a Phantom, a Shooting Car, a Carriage, and a Carriage HAZARD, Castlemeagh and Park streets.

BAZAR 'CHAMBER' to parties commanding a limited Pounds.—For SALE, a beautifully situated and well built detached HOUSE, in the suburbs of Sydney, containing six or seven rooms. Remainder of purchase-money can be paid in three years as rent. Particulars, address G. B. Post-office.

FOR SALE, A BROWN HORSE, six years old, good
in saddle, single and double harness. Apply at
Abernethy-street.

R. S. A.
Tea, superior smothering, in half-cakes
Coffee, fine taylor and Java
Arrowroot, sugar, flour, pearl sago, maco, &c.
Custard, jelly, semolina, &c.
Pineapple, glass, assorted, and common glassware
Blanco, &c.
H. B. KENNEDY, MILLER, and CO., 115, York-st.

R. M. E. B. R. E.
ELLIOTT, PORTER, and CO., 115, York-st.
Timber in English timber, and cured especially for
special orders, for the use of the antiques.
GARLAND and BINGHAM, 115, York-st.

WANTED, 100 fine-worried RAMS, the property of
German imported SHEEP
GARLAND and BINGHAM, 115, York-st.

ATTLE SALE.—GARLAND and BINGHAM
have for SALE one of the best

the Lower Murrumbidgee.

115, York-st.
SHEEP STATION—GARLAND AND BINGHAM
have for SALE an excellent Sheep Station, in the
District of New England.

115, York-st.
STATIONS WITHOUT SHEEP—GARLAND AND
BINGHAM have for SALE a great number of
stations, without stock, situated in the Southern and
Western Districts, at moderate prices and easy terms.

115, York-st.
SALT BUSH SHEEP RUN
WITHOUT STOCK
GARLAND AND BINGHAM have for SALE in
the District of New South Wales, several
valuable Stations, without stock.

115, York-st.
BALLANDRY, 19,480 Acres
WILLIAMS, or LADY MARY MERROD, 40,000 Acres
CAPACOPPA, 25,600 Acres
TUBBETTA, 40,000 Acres
WORTH TUBBETTA, 40,000 Acres.
For particulars apply to the Agents, Messrs.

FIRST-CLASS FATTENING RUN.—For sale the underlined, a first-class fattening SPAWN

[illegible]

A most valuable and extensive property, situated near Sydney, comprising about 1300 acres of land, mostly naturally built fawn, and containing 14 large and lofty gum trees; also, the usual mill-works and lime-kilns located thereon.

The above estate contains 168 acres of improved land, 130 of which are in a high state of cultivation, all of which are cleared for grazing purposes; the remainder being planted with wheat, corn, and clover, and some convenient paddocks, and is well supplied with water, and is admirably adapted for fattening stock, and will produce from 100 to 150 bushels of wheat per acre. There are yards, sheds, stables, &c., for 100 horses. It is half a mile to the Parramatta River, and is distant from Sydney by rail 10 miles, and can be reached either by rail or car and a-half by steamboat, which passes the wharves five times daily.

It is bounded on the north by the Parramatta River, on the east by Haslam's Creek; on the west by Duck Lake, two of which are navigable for 30 ton boats to the Parramatta Road; and on the south by the Spence at Parramatta Road.

NEWINGTON HOUSE

THE NEWINGTON FALT WORKS,
about 40 acres of evaporating beds, together with a large kiln plant, large steam engine and horse power station, pumping, &c., together with all other machinery, are capable of producing thirty-two million gallons of soda ash annually, at full operation. There is also attached to the engine house a large gas retort.

THE NEWINGTON LIME WORKS, consisting of three large close kilns with large shed, and a large water wheel, weekly 6000 bushels of lime one or shell.

There is every facility provided for the shipment of produce from the works by rail, and the same is further enhanced by the possession of a PATENT MARYLAND CANAL BOAT, which is capable of taking up a vessel of 100 tons.

Very few other advantages can be mentioned, except the commodation of the tradesmen and laborers engaged upon the property; besides many other substantial improvements.

This is, without exception, one of the most compact and valuable properties that has been in the market for land and timber. It is situated on the banks of the Mississippi River, and is well worth the attention of capitalists and of parties seeking an investment.

For further particulars apply to R. J. MAXWELL, Esq., Newington; or to W. DEAN and CO., auctioneers, New Orleans, Louisiana.

Terms, very easy.

SALES BY AUCTION.
 To Timber Merchants, Builders, Shavers, &c.
 50,000 feet Bangor Slates.

Messrs. W. DEAN and CO. have received instructions to sell by auction, on MONDAY, the 10th inst., at the Merchants' Wharf, on MONDAY, at 10 o'clock,

10,000 slates, 24 x 12
10,000 ditto, 22 x 12
10,000 ditto, 20 x 12
10,000 ditto, 18 x 12
10,000 ditto, 16 x 12
10,000 ditto, 14 x 12
10,000 ditto, 12 x 12
10,000 ditto, 10 x 12
10,000 ditto, 8 x 12
10,000 ditto, 6 x 12
10,000 ditto, 4 x 12
10,000 ditto, 2 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/2 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/4 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/8 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/16 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/32 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/64 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/128 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/256 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/512 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/1024 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/2048 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/4096 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/8192 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/16384 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/32768 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/65536 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/131072 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/262144 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/524288 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/1048576 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/2097152 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/4194304 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/8388608 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/16777216 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/33554432 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/67108864 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/134217728 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/268435456 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/536870912 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/1073741824 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/2147483648 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/4294967296 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/8589934592 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/17179869184 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/34359738368 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/68719476736 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/137438953472 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/274877906944 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/549755813888 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/1099511627776 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/2199023255552 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/4398046511104 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/8796093022208 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/17592186044416 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/35184372088832 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/70368744177664 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/140737488355328 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/281474976710656 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/562949953421312 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/1125899906842624 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/2251799813685248 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/4503599627370496 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/9007199254740992 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/18014398509481984 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/36028797018963968 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/72057594037927936 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/144115188075855872 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/288230376151711744 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/576460752303423488 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/1152921504606846976 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/2305843009213693952 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/4611686018427387904 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/9223372036854775808 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/18446744073709551616 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/36893488147419103232 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/73786976294838206464 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/147573952589676412928 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/295147905179352825856 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/590295810358705651712 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/1180591620717411303424 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/2361183241434822606848 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/4722366482869645213696 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/9444732965739290427392 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/18889465931478580854784 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/37778931862957161709568 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/75557863725914323419136 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/151115727451828646838272 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/302231454903657293676544 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/604462909807314587353088 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/1208925819614629174706176 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/2417851639229258349412352 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/4835703278458516698824704 x 12
10,000 ditto, 1/9671406556917033397649

10,000 ditto, 15 x 10
10,000 ditto, 16 x 10
Terms at sale.

Livery Stable-keepers, Horse Feeders, Omnibus Proprietors, &c.
Hobart Town Carrots, ex Harp.

MESSRS. W. DEAN and Co. have received instructions to sell by auction, on the Company's Wharf, on MONDAY, 1st August, 1864, 2-past 2 o'clock,
8 tons of very superior Hobart Town carrots.
Terms at sale.

YDNEY MORNING HERALD.
—CASH TERMS OF ADVERTISEMENTS.

Two lines	One shilling.
Four ditto	Two shillings.
Six ditto	Three shillings.
Eight ditto	Four shillings.

And (three pence) per line for every additional line for

All advertisements under six lines will be charged at the advertiser's account if booked.

50 Births, Deaths, and Marriages, 2s. each insertion.

Printed and published by JOHN FAIRBANK and SON, at the Office of the "Sydney Morning Herald," Pitt and Market Streets, Monday, August 1st, 1899.